Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Fluorine Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fluor

Synthesis of fluorinated analogues of the neurosteroid GABA_A receptor antagonist, 17-PA

Gildas Deniau^a, Keith T. Sillar^b, David O'Hagan^{a,*}

^a School of Chemistry and Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, University of St-Andrews, St-Andrews, Fife, Scotland KY16 9ST, UK ^b School of Biology, Bute Building, University of St-Andrews, St-Andrews, Fife KY16 9TS, Scotland KY16 9ST, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 January 2008 Received in revised form 9 March 2008 Accepted 11 March 2008 Available online 21 March 2008

Keywords: Neurosteroids 17-PA γ-Aminobutyric acid receptors Medicinal chemistry

1. Introduction

GABA_A receptors are chloride ion channels that mediate the fast synaptic inhibition of the main inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA. They play a key role in the central nervous system and they are also the targets for a variety of clinically important therapeutic drugs, such as benzodiazepines, barbiturates and neuroactive steroids. The anaesthetic action of endogenous steroids was first observed in 1941 [1] and their ability to enhance neuronal inhibition *via* the GABA_A receptor was subsequently demonstrated [2,3]. One of the most potent neurosteroids in this regard is allopregnanolone **1** which has potential therapeutic applications as an anaesthetic [4]. However, the steroid-mediated potentiation of GABA_A receptors is complex and has been clarified only very recently. Indeed, there is now direct evidence that neurosteroids bind multiple distinct binding sites on the GABA_A receptors and two of them have been precisely located on the receptor by selective mutation studies [5].



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1334 467176; fax: +44 1334 463808. *E-mail addresses:* do1@st-and.ac.uk, do1@st-andrews.ac.uk (D. O'Hagan).

ABSTRACT

The steroid 17-PA is a GABA_A receptor antagonist which is finding use as a tool in evaluating agonistic/ antagonistic activity at GABA_A receptors. Compounds with improved efficacy over 17-PA would be are advantageous for such studies. Accordingly a series of novel analogues of the neurosteroid 17-PA have been prepared and a convenient two-step route is presented which is amenable to the synthesis of analogues with electron-donating *para*-aromatic substituents including fluorine. However, for the *meta*fluoro analogue then the original four-step route to 17-PA remains more efficient overall. The paper describes these syntheses and discusses the electronic factors which influence this synthetic chemistry. © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

> In 2004, the first selective steroid antagonist $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -17phenylandrost-16-en-3-ol (17-PA) **2** was reported to antagonise the effects of 5 α -reduced neurosteroids at GABA_A receptors whereas 5 β -reduced steroids were only weakly affected [6]. This study suggested the presence of at least two steroid binding sites (5 α and 5 β) on the receptor. To date, 17-PA remains the only selective steroid antagonist known and therefore, it represents an attractive pharmaceutical tool to explore the delineation of different neurosteroids effects and to investigate the pharmacophore of the different steroid binding sites. In this paper we describe the synthesis of some fluorinated analogues of 17-PA in an effort to increase the efficacy of 17-PA as a neurosteroid antagonist.

2. Results and discussion

Our initial efforts focused on introducing substituents on the aromatic ring of 17-PA. We decided first to introduce a fluorine atom in the *para*- and *meta*-positions on the phenyl ring. To this end, the analogues **4–5** were prepared by a modification of the previous route to 17-PA [6].

4-Fluorophenyllithium was prepared by a metal-bromine exchange reaction of 4-fluorobromobenzene with *n*-BuLi at -78 °C in Et₂O and was added to a solution of androsterone **3** in THF to generate $(3\alpha,5\alpha,17\beta)$ -17-(p-fluorophenyl)androstane-3,17-diol **4** in a modest yield after purification. The formation of a strongly UV-active compound after leaving **4** in CHCl₃ for a few days was an indication that the tertiary hydroxyl group was not stable under acidic conditions and was prone to elimination. Therefore, compound **4** was subjected to a pTSA-catalysed



^{0022-1139/\$ -} see front matter \circledcirc 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2008.03.004



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-Fluorobromobenzene, n-BuLi, Et₂O, -78 to 25 °C, 12 h, 40%; (b) pTSA, DCM, reflux, 4 h, 60%.

dehydration, which generated **5** in a 60% yield. Accordingly the synthesis of the *para*-fluoro analogue of 17-PA **5** (Scheme 1) could be reduced to two straightforward steps and is a more direct approach than the published route to 17-PA [6].

The synthesis of the *meta*-fluoro analogue of 17-PA was attempted following the sequence described above for the preparation of **5**. Intermediate **6** was prepared by reaction of 3-fluorophenyllithium with androsterone. However, the tertiary hydroxyl group in **6** proved more resistant to elimination under acidic conditions than that experienced for the *para*-fluorophenyl steroid **4**. More forcing conditions (*i.e.* two equivalents of pTSA and heating under reflux of DCM for 5 h) were necessary to achieve complete dehydration of **6** but in this case, a mixture of two isomeric products was obtained, **7** (major) and **8** (minor) as illustrated in Scheme 2.

This rearrangement to **7** has precedent in related steroids [7–12]. The reactivity of *meta*-**6** is noticeably different to that of the

para-fluorophenyl steroid **4**. It seems that the *meta*- fluorine atom inductively destabilises a developing carbocation at C [17] and promotes the rearrangement whereas the *para*-fluorine in **5** provides mesomeric stabilisation and promotes a straightforward E1-elimination.

In the event the *meta*-fluoro analogue **8** of 17-PA was successfully obtained by following the published route to 17-PA [6]. Treatment of **6** with acetic anhydride generated acetate **9** and subsequent dehydration of the tertiary alcohol and then hydrolysis of the acetate, furnished **8** in 15% overall yield (Scheme 2).

The synthesis of the *meta*-difluorophenyl analogue was also addressed, again starting with a metal exchange reaction of 3,5difluorobromobenzene **11** with *n*-BuLi (Et₂O, -78 °C) and then addition to androsterone **3**. In our hands, this reaction gave a complex mixture from which compounds **12** and **13** could be identified by NMR and mass spectrometry, but they were not purified. The increased acidity of the hydrogen atom *ortho* to the



Scheme 2. Preparation of 8 and formation of 7 by Wagner–Meerwein rearrangement under acidic conditions. Reagents and conditions: (a) 3-fluorobromobenzene, *n*-BuLi, Et₂O, -78 °C to 25 °C, 15 h, 31%; (b): pTSA (2 eq), DCM, 40 °C, 5 h, 52%; (c) Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP, 25 °C, 15 min, 80%; (d) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 45 min, 67%; (e) NaOH, H₂O, MeOH, 65 °C, 1 h, 90%.



Scheme 3. The combined electron withdrawing effect of the two fluorine atoms render the ortho hydrogen susceptible to exchange, leading to a mixture of 12 and 13.

fluorine atoms clearly results in a competing lithium-hydrogen exchange, leading to a mixture of 1,3-difluorophenyl-5-lithium **14** and 1,3-difluorophenyl-2-lithium **15** giving rise to product mixture of **12** and **13** after reaction with androsterone (Scheme 3). Generally, fluorinated phenyllithiums are stable only at low temperature and in the case of *ortho*-fluorophenyllithiums, LiF elimination occurs above -50 °C to generate benzynes [13–16]. Thus this proved to be an inefficient reaction.

The striking difference in the elimination behaviour between **4** and **6** prompted us to investigate the effects of electron-donating groups on the aromatic ring, with the idea that they will favour dehydration of the tertiary alcohol. To this end, *para*-methylphenyl **17** and *para*-methoxyphenyl **19** derivatives were prepared by the two-step sequence show in Scheme 4.

As anticipated E1 elimination was promoted by both of these donors and dehydration of **16** with a catalytic amount of pTSA in DCM resulted in an efficient conversion to $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -(17-*p*-toluene)androst-16-en-3-ol **17**, which was recovered in good yield (71%) with recovery of the rearranged product (5%) as a minor component. In the case of the *para*-methoxyl analogue it is noteworthy that the tertiary alcohol **18** could not be held in solution at all and dehydrated rapidly, consistent with the increased donor ability of the *p*-methoxyl group. Dehydration of **18** furnished $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -17-(p-methoxyphenyl)androst-16-en-3-ol

(**19**) in 90% yield, and there was no evidence of any rearranged product in this case.

In summary we have developed a convenient two-step procedure for the preparation of the *p*-fluoro-, *p*-methyl-**17** and *p*-methoxyl **19** analogues of 17-PA, a development on the published [6] four-step route to 17-PA in those cases. However, in circumstances where significant rearrangement occurs during tertiary alcohol dehydration, then product ratios can be biased against the desired product and separation of the isomers is difficult. Therefore, the four-step procedure e.g. to generate the *meta*-fluoro analogue **8**, is advantageous.

It is tempting to correlate the extent of rearranged product to the Hammett parameter of the substituent (Table 1).

Table 1 indicates that the more electron-donating the substituent, then E1 elimination is promoted and methyl migration is suppressed. Although the Hammett parameter of fluorine in the *para*-position of an aromatic ring is positive, it appears from Table 1 that it acts to stabilise the carbocation at C [17] of **4** similar to that of *para*-hydrogen in **20**. In fact, it is recognised by both theoretical and experimental observations that *para*-fluorine has an ability to stabilise a benzylic positive charge due, to its albeit limited π -donor ability which overcomes the –I effect [18–20]. Conversely at the *meta*-position in **6**, only a –I effect is observed.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-bromotoluene (R = CH₃) or 4-bromoanisole (R = OCH₃), n-BuLi, Et₂O, RT, 12 h; (b) pTSA, DCM, RT.

Table 1

Influence of	the aromatic	s substituent of	n debudration	under	acidic condition	
innuence or	the aromatic	substituent o	n denvaration	under		15



Finally to add some structural diversity in this series of 17-PA analogues, hydrogenation of the double bond was explored. In this event, the *para*-methyl **17** and *para*-methoxyl **19** analogues of 17-PA were hydrogenated using palladium on carbon as a catalyst. This furnished the saturated compounds **21** and **22** in good yields and as single stereoisomers (Scheme 5). The stereo-chemistry at C [17] was confirmed by ¹H NMR-NOESY experiments on **21**, which clearly indicated that the protons of the C [18] methyl group are close to the aromatic ring protons and are not *syn* to the methine hydrogen –H [17].

A set of seven analogues of 17-PA, bearing different substituents on the aromatic ring has been prepared. These compounds are currently under investigation as neurosteroid antagonists on GABA_A receptors, although preliminary assays have been confounded by solubility problems, similar to that experienced for 17-PA. However, the solubility problems of 17-PA in the original assays [6] have recently been partially addressed by dissolution of the steroid with β -cyclodextrins [21].

3. Experimental

3.1. General experimental procedures

All moisture sensitive reactions were carried out under a positive pressure of nitrogen. Solvents were dried prior to use and column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (40–63 μ m) from Apollo Scientific Ltd. High-resolution mass spectrometry was performed on a Waters LCT or GCT time-of-flight mass spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on either Bruker AV-300 (¹H at 300.06 MHz, ¹³C at 75.45 MHz, ¹⁹F at 282.34 MHz), or Bruker AV-500 (¹H at 499.90 MHz, ¹⁹F at 470.33 MHz). Chemical shifts δ are reported in parts per million (ppm) and quoted relative to internal standard Me₄Si for ¹H and ¹³C and to external standard CFCl₃ for ¹⁹F. Melting points were measured using a GallenKamp Griffin MPA350.BM2.5 melting point apparatus and are uncor-

rected. Optical rotations were determined using a A-1000 polarimeter (Optical Polarimeter Ltd.) $[\alpha]_D$ values are measured at 589 nm and given in 10^{-1} deg cm² g⁻¹. Elemental analyses were carried out on a CE instrument EA 1110 CHNS analyser. IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Spectrum GX FT-IR system as KBr disc or as thin film on NaCl plates.

3.2. $(3\alpha, 5\alpha, 17\beta)$ -17-(p-Fluorophenyl)androstane-3, 17-diol (4)

n-BuLi (3.8 cm³, 2.5 M in hexane, 9.5 mmol) was added at -78 °C under N₂ to a solution of 4-fluoro-bromobenzene (1.1 cm³, 10.0 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (10 cm³). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at -78 °C and then 3 h at -40 °C. The solution was then added dropwise *via* cannula at -40 °C to a solution of androsterone (300 mg, 1.0 mmol) in dry THF (15 cm³). The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at -40 °C and the temperature was allowed to warm to RT over 12 h. A saturated solution of NH₄Cl (10 cm³) was added and the organic phase was extracted into EtOAc (3 × 50 cm³). The combined organic extracts were dried and evaporated and the product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) to give compound **4** as a colourless solid (158 mg, 40%).

Mp: 173–176 °C (from CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 18.0 (c 1.5, CHCl₃); ν_{max} (KBr plate)/cm⁻¹ 3464 (br), 2932, 2855, 1603, 1508, 1226, 1162, 1075, 1016, 1005, 832; δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.23 (2 H, dd, *J* = 5.6 Hz, *J* = 8.8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.91 (2 H, dd, *J* = 8.8 Hz, *J* = 8.8 Hz, Ar-H), 3.94–3.99 (1 H, m, C³H), 2.24 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *J* = 9.7 Hz, *J* = 14.7 Hz, C¹⁶H_A), 1.93–2.05 (1 H, m, C¹⁶H_B), 0.72–1.77 (20 H, m), 0.95 (3 H, s, C¹⁹H₃); 0.20–0.39 (2 H, m); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 162.1 (d, *J* = 245.2 Hz, *A*r-F), 142.1 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, *A*r), 129.3 (2 × C, d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *A*r), 114.3 (2 × C, d, *J* = 20.9 Hz, *A*r), 86.1 (C¹⁷), 66.8 (CH), 54.1 (CH), 49.5 (CH), 47.0 (C¹³), 39.4 (CH), 39.1 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂), 20.7 (CH₂), 15.2 (CH₃), 11.5 (CH₃); δ_F (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –117.0 (1 F, tt, *J* = 5.4 Hz, *J* = 8.6 Hz); *m/z* (+ES), found (M + Na⁺): 409.2517, C₂₅H₃₅O₂NaF requires 409.2519 (–0.4 ppm).



Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: (a) Pd/C, H₂ (1 atm), EtOAc, 91–93%.

3.3. $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -17-(p-Fluorophenyl)androst-16-en-3-ol (5)

p-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (10 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added at RT to a solution of $(3\alpha,5\alpha,17\beta)$ -17-(*p*-fluorophenyl)androstane-3,17 β -diol **4** (120 mg, 0.31 mmol) in dry DCM (20 cm³). The reaction solution was heated under reflux for 4 h and stirred at RT for 2 h. The reaction solution was washed with a 10% solution of NaHCO₃ (10 cm³) and the organic phase was extracted into diethyl ether (2 × 40 cm³). The combined organic extracts were dried and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 8:1) to give title compound **5** as a colourless solid (65 mg, 57%).

Mp: 182–187 °C (from CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_D^{18}$ + 18.0 (c 1.5, CHCl₃); ν_{max} (KBr plate)/cm⁻¹ 3332 (br), 2929, 2858, 1601, 1505, 1442, 1230, 1218, 1034, 1005, 810; δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.28–7.33 (2 H, m, Ar-*H*), 6.94–7.00 (2 H, m, Ar-*H*), 5.83 (1 H, dd, *J* = 1.8 Hz, *J* = 3.2 Hz, C¹⁶*H*), 4.03–4.07 (1 H, m, C³*H*), 2.19 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 3.3 Hz, *J* = 6.2 Hz, *J* = 15.4 Hz, C¹⁵*H*_A), 1.92–2.04 (2 H, m), 0.73–1.77 (18 H, m), 0.99 (3 H, s, C¹⁸*H*₃), 0.83 (3 H, s, C¹⁹*H*₃); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 162.3 (d, *J* = 245.4 Hz, *Ar*), 154.3 (C¹⁷), 133.9 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, *Ar*), 128.6 (2 × C, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, *Ar*), 127.5 (C¹⁶), 115.3 (2 × C, d, *J* = 21.1 Hz, *Ar*), 67.0 (CH), 58.0 (CH), 55.0 (CH), 47.8 (C¹³), 39.7 (CH), 36.7 (C¹⁰), 36.3 (CH₂), 35.9 (CH₂), 21.2 (CH₂), 17.1 (CH₃), 11.6 (CH₃); δ_F (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –116.7 (1 F, tt, *J* = 5.5 Hz, *J* = 8.7 Hz); *m/z* (+Cl), found MH⁺: 369.2585, C₂₅H₃₄OF requires 369.2594 (–2.3 ppm).

3.4. $(3\alpha, 5\alpha, 17\beta)$ -17-(m-Fluorophenyl)androstane-3, 17-diol (6)

n-BuLi (2.0 cm³, 2.5 M in hexane, 5.0 mmol) was added at -78 °C under N₂ to a solution of 3-fluoro-bromobenzene (0.55 cm³, 5.0 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (5 cm³). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C and added dropwise *via* cannula over 10 min to a solution of androsterone (300 mg, 1.0 mmol) in dry THF (20 cm³). The reaction mixture was stirred 3 h at -78 °C and the temperature was allowed to warm to RT over 15 h. A saturated solution of NH₄Cl (10 cm³) was added and the organic phase was extracted into EtOAc (3 × 50 cm³). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried. After evaporation of the solvent, the product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) to give compound **6** as a colourless solid (125 mg, 31%).

Mp: 182–188 °C (from hexane/EtOAc); $[α]_D^{20} + 25.3$ (c 0.4, CHCl₃); ν_{max} (KBr plate)/cm⁻¹ 3422 (br), 2926, 2854, 1613, 1586, 1437, 1262, 1096, 1016, 804; δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.92– 7.45 (4 H, m, Ar-H), 3.95–4.01 (1 H, m, C³H), 2.33 (1 H, ddd, J = 5.3 Hz, J = 9.7 Hz, J = 14.4 Hz, C¹⁶H_A), 2.08 (1 H, ddd, J = 4.4 Hz, J = 12.5 Hz, J = 14.4 Hz, C¹⁶H_B), 0.53–1.87 (20 H, m), 1.03 (3 H, s, C¹⁸H₃), 0.76 (3 H, s, C¹⁹H₃), 0.29–0.49 (2 H, m); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 162.6 (d, J = 244.2 Hz, Ar-F), 149.5 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, Ar), 128.9 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, Ar), 123.4 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, Ar), 115.0 (d, J = 22.1 Hz, Ar), 113.9 (d, J = 21.1 Hz, Ar), 86.3 (C¹⁷), 66.8 (CH), 54.1 (CH), 49.5 (CH), 47.2 (C¹³), 39.4 (CH), 39.1 (CH₂), 36.6 (CH), 36.4 (C¹⁰), 36.2 (CH₂), 34.0 (CH₂), 32.4 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂), 20.7 (CH₂), 15.3 (CH₃), 11.6 (CH₃); δ_F (282 MHz, CDCl₃) – 114.6 (1 F, ddd, J = 6.1 Hz, J = 8.4 Hz, J = 11.0 Hz); m/z (+ES), found (M + Na⁺): 409.2512, C₂₅H₃₅O₂NaF requires 409.2519 (–1.8 ppm).

3.5. 17α -(*m*-Fluorophenyl)- 17β -methyl- 5α -androsten-13- 3α -ol (7)

p-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (40 mg, 0.26 mmol) was added at RT to a solution of $(3\alpha,5\alpha,17\beta)$ -17-(*m*-fluorophenyl)androstane-3,17-diol **6** (50 mg, 0.13 mmol) in dry DCM (10 cm³). The mixture was heated under reflux for 5 h, cooled down to RT, 1 M NaHCO₃ (5 cm³) was added and the solution was stirred for 15 min.

The product was extracted into DCM ($2 \times 20 \text{ cm}^3$), the combined organic extracts were dried and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 10:1) to give title compound **7** as a colourless oil (25 mg, 52%).

 $δ_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.23 (1 H, ddd, J = 6.4 Hz, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 8.0 Hz, Ar-H), 7.03 (1 H, ddd, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 7.9 Hz, Ar-H), 6.95 (1 H, ddd, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 11.1 Hz, Ar-H), 6.84 (1 H, ddd, J = 2.5 Hz, J = 8.3 Hz, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 4.06–4.08 (1 H, m, C³H), 2.32–2.40 (1 H, m, C¹⁵H_A), 2.12–2.21 (2 H, m, C¹⁵H_B + C⁸H), 1.80– 2.02 (5 H, m), 1.04–1.77 (14 H, m), 1.40 (3 H, C¹⁸H₃), 0.78 (3 H, C¹⁹H₃); $δ_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 163.0 (d, J = 244.2 Hz, Ar), 152.0 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, Ar), 139.9 (C^{14}), 139.6 (C^{13}), 129.3 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar), 121.6 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, Ar), 113.0 (d, J = 21.4 Hz, Ar), 112.1 (d, J = 21.2 Hz, Ar), 66.6 (C^3), 53.1 (C^{17}), 51.8 (C^9), 42.2 (C^{16}), 39.1 (C^5), 36.9 (C^8), 36.1 (C^{10}), 35.7 (C^4), 31.9 (C^1), 31.5 (C^7), 30.5 (C^{15}), 29.0 ($C^6 + C^2$), 24.2 (C^{18}), 22.9 (C^{12}), 22.2 (C^{11}), 10.7 (C^{19}); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –114.3 (1 F, ddd, J = 6.3 Hz, J = 8.5 Hz, J = 11.2 Hz); m/z (+Cl), found MH⁺: 369.2594, C₂₅H₃₄OF requires 369.2594 (+0.2 ppm).

3.6. $(3\alpha, 5\alpha, 17\beta)$ -17-(m-Fluorophenyl)androstane-3, 17-diol, 3-acetate (9)

Ac₂O (0.2 cm³) and 4-DMAP (8 mg) were added to a solution of $(3\alpha,5\alpha,17\beta)$ -17-(*m*-fluorophenyl)androstane-3,17-diol **6** (62 mg, 0.16 mmol) in pyridine (0.5 cm³). The mixture was stirred at RT for 15 min and poured into 10% NaHCO₃ (8 cm³). After further stirring for 20 min, the product was extracted into EtOAc (3 × 20 cm³). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 10:1) to give compound **9** as a colourless solid (55 mg, 80%).

Mp: 195–197 °C (from CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.92–7.31 (4 H, Ar-*H*), 4.93–4.98 (1 H, m, C³*H*), 2.34 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 5.3 Hz, *J* = 9.7 Hz, *J* = 14.5 Hz, C¹⁶*H*_A), 2.09 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 4.2 Hz, *J* = 12.4 Hz, *J* = 14.4 Hz, C¹⁶*H*_B), 1.99 (3 H, s, CH₃CO₂), 0.57–1.94 (19 H, m), 1.04 (3 H, s, C¹⁸*H*₃), 0.77 (3 H, s, C¹⁹*H*₃), 0.31–0.51 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.1 (CO₂), 162.6 (d, *J* = 244.3 Hz, Ar-F), 149.5 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, *Ar*), 128.9 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, *Ar*), 123.4 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, *Ar*), 115.0 (d, *J* = 22.1 Hz, *Ar*), 113.9 (d, *J* = 21.0 Hz, *Ar*), 86.3 (C¹⁷), 70.5 (CH), 54.0 (CH), 49.5 (CH₂), 33.2 (CH₂), 33.1 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 28.6 (CH₂), 26.4 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂), 21.9 (CH₃CO₂), 20.7 (CH₂), 15.3 (CH₃), 11.7 (CH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –114.6 (1 F, ddd, *J* = 6.1 Hz, *J* = 8.4 Hz, *J* = 11.1 Hz).

3.7. $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -17-(m-Fluorophenyl)androst-16-en-3-ol acetate (10)

Et₃N (0.2 cm³) and MsCl (60 μL, 0.77 mmol) were added at 0 °C to a solution of $(3\alpha, 5\alpha, 17\beta)$ -17-(*m*-fluorophenyl)androstane-3,17-diol, 3-acetate **9** (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) in dry DCM (5 cm³). The solution was stirred for 45 min at 0 °C and DCM was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOac 15:1) to give compound **10** as a colourless solid (32 mg, 67%).

Mp: 148–150 °C (from CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.20–7.28 (1 H, m, Ar-H), 7.12–7.16 (1 H, m, Ar-H), 7.03–7.09 (1 H, m, Ar-H), 6.87–6.94 (1 H, m, Ar-H), 5.94 (1 H, dd, J = 1.8 Hz, J = 3.2 Hz, C¹⁶H), 5.00–5.04 (1 H, m, C³H), 2.21 (1 H, ddd, J = 3.3 Hz, J = 6.3 Hz, J = 15.7 Hz, C¹⁵H_A), 1.94–2.08 (2 H, m), 2.06 (3 H, s, CH₃CO₂), 0.78–1.78 (17 H, m), 1.01 (3 H, s, C¹⁸H₃), 0.85 (3 H, s, C¹⁹H₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.2 (CH₃CO₂), 163.1 (d, J = 244.4 Hz, Ar-F), 154.2 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, C¹⁷), 140.0 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, Ar), 129.8 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar), 128.9 (C¹⁶), 122.7 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, Ar), 113.8 (d, J = 21.5 Hz, Ar), 70.5 (CH), 58.0 (CH), 54.9 (CH₂), 47.8 (C¹³), 40.6

(CH), 36.4 (C¹⁰), 35.8 (CH₂), 34.4 (CH), 33.3 (CH₂), 33.1 (CH₂), 32.2 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 28.7 (CH₂), 26.5 (CH₂), 22.0 (CH₃CO₂), 21.2 (CH₂), 17.2 (CH₃), 11.7 (CH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –114.3 (1 F, ddd, J = 6.1 Hz, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 10.6 Hz); m/z (+ES), found (M + Na⁺): 433.2516, C₂₇H₃₅O₂FNa requires 433.2519 (-0.7 ppm).

3.8. $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -17-(m-Fluorophenyl)androst-16-en-3-ol (8)

5 N NaOH (0.15 cm³) was added at RT to a solution of $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -17-(*m*-fluorophenyl)androst-16-en-3-ol acetate **10** (21 mg, 0.05 mmol) in MeOH (2.5 cm³). The mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h and cooled to RT. Water (2 cm³) was added and the product was extracted into DCM (3 × 10 cm³). The combined organic extracts were dried and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to give title compound **8** as a colourless solid (17 mg, 90%).

Mp: 179–184 °C (from CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.20–7.27 (1 H, m, Ar-H), 7.12–7.15 (1 H, m, Ar-H), 7.03–7.08 (1 H, m, Ar-H), 6.87–6.94 (1 H, m, Ar-H), 5.93 (1 H, *J* = 1.8 Hz, *J* = 3.1 Hz, C¹⁶H), 4.04–4.07 (1 H, m, C³H), 2.21 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 3.3 Hz, *J* = 6.2 Hz, *J* = 15.6 Hz, C¹⁵H_A), 1.94–2.01 (2 H, m), 0.75–1.78 (18 H, m), 1.01 (3 H, s, C¹⁸H₃), 0.84 (3 H, s, C¹⁹H₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 163.1 (d, *J* = 244.5 Hz, *Ar*-F), 154.3 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, C¹⁷), 140.0 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *Ar*), 129.8 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *Ar*), 128.9 (C¹⁶), 122.7 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, *Ar*), 113.8 (d, *J* = 21.5 Hz, *Ar*), 113.7 (d, *J* = 21.1 Hz, *Ar*), 67.0 (CH), 58.0 (CH), 55.0 (CH), 47.8 (C¹³), 39.7 (CH), 36.7 (C¹⁰), 36.3 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 21.2 (CH₂), 17.2 (CH₃), 11.6 (CH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –114.3 (1 F, ddd, *J* = 6.2 Hz, *J* = 8.7 Hz, *J* = 10.7 Hz); *m/z* (+Cl), found MH⁺: 369.2599, C₂₅H₃₄OF requires 369.2594 (+1.3 ppm).

3.9. $(3\alpha, 5\alpha, 17\beta)$ -(17-p-Toluene)androstane-3, 17-diol (16)

n-BuLi (2 cm³, 2.5 M in hexane, 5.0 mmol) was added at RT under N₂ to a solution of *p*-bromotoluene (860 mg, 5.0 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (5 cm³). The mixture was stirred for 2 h at RT and added dropwise *via* cannula to a solution of androsterone (262 mg, 0.9 mmol) in dry THF (10 cm³). The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h at RT and then a 10% solution of NH₄Cl (10 cm³) was added. The reaction mixture was extracted into EtOAc (3×40 cm³) and the combined extracts were washed with brine and dried. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 7:1) to give compound **16** as a colourless solid (148 mg, 43%).

Mp: 173–174 °C (from CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.22 (2 H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, Ar-H), 7.11 (2 H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, Ar-H), 3.94–3.96 (1 H, m, C³H), 2.29–2.35 (1 H, ddd, J = 5.2 Hz, J = 9.8 Hz, J = 14.4 Hz, C¹⁶H_A), 2.33 (3 H, s, PhCH₃), 2.03–2.09 (1 H, m, C¹⁶H_B), 0.82–1.92 (20 H, m), 1.02 (3 H, s, C¹⁸H₃), 0.74 (3 H, s, C¹⁹H₃), 0.34–0.44 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 143.6 (*A*r), 136.6 (*A*r), 128.3 (2 × C, *A*r), 127.6 (2 × C, *A*r), 86.2 (C¹⁷), 66.8 (C³), 54.0 (C⁹), 49.5 (C¹⁴), 47.0 (C¹³), 39.4 (C⁵), 39.0 (C¹⁶), 36.6 (C⁸), 36.4 (C¹⁰), 36.2 (C⁴), 34.0 (C¹²), 32.4 (C¹), 32.1 (C⁷), 29.3 (C²), 28.9 (C⁶), 24.8 (C¹⁵), 21.4 (C²⁴), 20.7 (C¹¹), 15.3 (C¹⁸), 11.6 (C¹⁹); *m*/*z* (+ES), found (M + Na⁺): 405.2766, C₂₆H₃₈O₂Na requires 405.2770 (–0.8 ppm).

3.10. (3α,5α)-(17-p-Toluene)androst-16-en-3-ol (17)

p-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (10 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added at RT to a solution of $(3\alpha,5\alpha,17\beta)$ -(17-*p*-toluene)andros-tane-3,17 β -diol **16** (140 mg, 0.37 mmol) in dry DCM (20 cm³) and the reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 2 days. A 10% solution of NaHCO₃ (5 cm³) was then added, the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase extracted into DCM (2 × 20 cm³). The

combined organic extracts were dried and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 7:1) to give title compound **17** as a colourless solid (95 mg, 71%).

Mp: 182–185 °C (from CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_D^{18} + 25.1$ (c 1.5, CHCl₃); (Found: C, 85.41; H, 10.38%, C₂₆H₃₆O requires C, 85.66; H, 9.95%); ν_{max} (KBr plate)/cm⁻¹ 3333 (br), 3048, 2924, 2846, 1508, 1443, 1368, 1357, 1001, 794; δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.26 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.10 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 5.84 (1 H, dd, *J* = 1.8 Hz, *J* = 3.2 Hz, C¹⁶*H*), 4.03–4.07 (1 H, m, C³*H*), 2.33 (3 H, s, PhCH₃), 2.18 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 3.3 Hz, *J* = 6.3 Hz, *J* = 15.4 Hz, C¹⁵*H*_A), 1.92–2.07 (2 H, m), 0.77– 1.77 (18 H, m), 1.00 (3 H, s, C¹⁸*H*₃), 0.83 (3 H, s, C¹⁹*H*₃); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 154.7 (C¹⁷), 136.3 (*Ar*), 134.5 (*Ar*), 128.9 (2 × C, *Ar*), 126.6 (2 × C, *Ar*), 126.4 (C¹⁶), 66.6 (C³), 57.7 (C¹⁴), 54.7 (C⁹), 47.4 (C¹³), 39.4 (C⁵), 36.3 (C¹⁰), 35.9 (C⁴), 35.6 (C¹²), 34.1 (C⁸), 32.1 (C¹), 31.9 (C⁷), 31.5 (C¹⁵), 29.1 (C²), 28.6 (C⁶), 21.1 (PhCH₃), 20.8 (C¹¹), 16.8 (C¹⁸), 11.2 (C¹⁹); *m*/*z* (+Cl), found MH⁺: 365.2856, C₂₆H₃₇O requires 365.2844 (3.0 ppm).

3.11. $(3\alpha, 5\alpha, 17\beta)$ -17-(p-Methoxyphenyl)androstane-3,17-diol (18)

n-BuLi (2 cm³, 2.5 M in hexane, 5.0 mmol) was added at RT under N₂ to a solution of 4-bromoanisole (0.63 cm³, 5.0 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (5 cm³). The mixture was stirred for 2 h at RT and added dropwise *via* cannula to a solution of androsterone (300 mg, 1.0 mmol) in dry THF (10 cm³). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 15 h. A 10% solution of NH₄Cl (10 cm³) was added and the organic phase was extracted into Et₂O (3 × 40 cm³). The combined organic extracts were dried and after evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 5:1) to give compound **18** as a white foam (122 mg, 30%). *Note*: **18** was not stable in solution and rapidly dehydrated to **19**.

 $δ_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.27 (2 H, *J* = 9.0 Hz, Ar-*H*), 6.86 (2 H, d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, Ar-*H*), 3.97–4.01 (1 H, m, C³*H*), 3.82 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 2.33 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *J* = 9.8 Hz, *J* = 14.6 Hz, C¹⁶*H*_A), 2.02–2.13 (1 H, m, C¹⁶*H*_B), 0.81–1.83 (20 H, m), 1.03 (3 H, s, C¹⁸*H*₃), 0.76 (3 H, s, C¹⁹*H*₃); 0.34–0.52 (2 H, m); $δ_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 158.7 (*A*r), 138.5 (*A*r), 128.8 (2 × C, *A*r), 112.9 (2 × C, *A*r), 86.1 (C¹⁷), 66.9 (CH), 55.6 (OCH₃), 54.1 (CH), 49.5 (CH₂), 47.1 (C¹³), 39.5 (CH), 39.1 (CH₂), 36.6 (CH), 36.5 (C¹⁰), 36.2 (CH₂), 34.0 (CH₂), 32.4 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂), 20.7 (CH₂), 15.3 (CH₃), 11.6 (CH₃); *m/z* (+ES), found (M + Na⁺): 421.2730, C₂₆H₃₈O₃Na requires 421.2719 (+2.7 ppm).

3.12. $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -17-(p-Methoxyphenyl)androst-16-en-3-ol (19)

p-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (2 mg) was added at RT to a solution of $(3\alpha,5\alpha,17\beta)$ -17-(*p*-methoxyphenyl)androstane-3,17-diol **18** (55 mg, 0.14 mmol) in dry DCM (5 cm³). The reaction mixture turned pink very rapidly. After 8 h the colour had disappeared and DCM was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified over silica gel (petroleum ether/diethyl ether 3:1) to give title compound **19** as a colourless solid (47 mg, 90%).

Mp: 171–176 °C (from CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 27.4$ (c 1.5, CHCl₃); (Found: C, 81.50; H, 9.85%, C₂₆H₃₆O₂ requires C, 82.06; H, 9.53%); ν_{max} (KBr plate)/cm⁻¹ 3322 (br), 2924, 2846, 1600, 1508, 1443, 1362, 1253, 1242, 1175, 1108, 1035; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.30 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, Ar-*H*), 6.83 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, Ar-*H*), 5.79 (1 H, dd, *J* = 1.7 Hz, *J* = 3.1 Hz, C¹⁶*H*), 4.02–4.06 (1 H, m, C³*H*), 3.80 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 2.18 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 3.2 Hz, *J* = 6.2 Hz, *J* = 15.3 Hz, C¹⁵*H*_A), 1.91–2.06 (2 H, m), 0.77–1.76 (18 H, m), 0.99 (3 H, s, C¹⁸*H*₃), 0.83 (3 H, s, C¹⁹*H*₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 158.9 (*A*r), 154.7 (C¹⁷), 130.5 (*A*r), 128.2 (2 × C, *A*r), 126.0 (C¹⁶), 113.9 (2 × C, *A*r), 67.0 (CH), 58.0 (CH), 55.7 (OCH₃), 55.1 (CH), 47.8 (C¹³), 39.8 (CH), 36.7 (C¹⁰), 36.3 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH₂), 34.5 (CH), 32.5 (CH₂), 32.3 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 21.2 (CH₂), 17.1 (CH₃), 11.6 (CH₃); m/z (+CI), found MH⁺: 381.2796, C₂₆H₃₇O₂ requires 381.2794 (+0.6 ppm).

3.13. $(3\alpha, 5\alpha)$ - $(17\beta$ -p-Toluene)androstan-3-ol (21)

Pd/C 5% (5 mg) was added to a solution of $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -(17-*p*-toluene)androst-16-en-3-ol **17** (12 mg, 0.03 mmol) in EtOAc (5 cm³). The mixture was stirred at RT under a positive atmosphere of H₂. After 12 h, the reaction mixture was filtered over Celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) to give title compound **21** as a colourless solid (11 mg, 91%).

Mp: 230–234 °C (from hexane/EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 7.4$ (c 0.65, CHCl₃); (Found: C, 84.97; H, 10.82%, C₂₆H₃₈O requires C, 85.19; H, 10.45%); ν_{max} (KBr plate)/cm⁻¹ 3289 (br), 2931, 2869, 2842, 1514, 1446, 1262, 1098, 1035, 1002, 807; δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.00–7.05 (4 H, dd, Ar-H), 4.03–4.06 (1 H, m, C³H), 2.63 (1 H, t, J = 9.9 Hz, C¹⁷H), 2.32 (3 H, s, PhCH₃), 2.01–2.09 (1 H, m, C¹⁶H_A), 1.88–1.96 (1 H, m, C¹⁶H_B), 0.74–1.80 (21 H, m), 0.77 (3 H, s, C¹⁹H₃), 0.45 (3 H, s, C¹⁸H₃); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 138.6 (*Ar*), 135.7 (*Ar*), 129.0 (2 × C, *Ar*), 128.8 (2 × C, *Ar*), 67.0 (CH), 57.1 (C¹⁷), 56.7 (CH), 55.0 (CH), 44.6 (C¹³), 39.7 (CH), 38.2 (CH₂), 26.6 (C¹⁰), 36.4 (CH), 36.3 (CH₂), 21.4 (PhCH₃), 20.9 (CH₂), 13.2 (CH₃), 11.7 (CH₃); *m/z* (+Cl), found MH⁺: 367.2992, C₂₆H₃₉O requires 367.3001 (–2.4 ppm).

3.14. $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ - $(17\beta$ -p-Methoxyphenyl)androstan-3-ol (22)

Pd/C 5% (5 mg) was added to a solution of $(3\alpha,5\alpha)$ -(17-*p*-methoxyphenyl)androst-16-en-3-ol **19** (50 mg, 0.13 mmol) in EtOAc (10 cm³). The mixture was stirred at RT under a positive atmosphere of H₂. After 12 h, the reaction mixture was filtered over Celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified over silica gel (petroleum ether/diethyl ether 2:1) to give title compound **22** as a colourless solid (47 mg, 93%).

Mp: 224–231 °C (from CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 8.0 (c 0.8, CHCl₃); (Found: C, 81.76; H, 10.29%, C₂₆H₃₈O₂ requires C, 81.63; H, 10.01%); ν_{max} (KBr plate)/cm⁻¹ 3522 (br), 3457 (br), 2930, 2914, 1609, 1512, 1463, 1249, 1238, 1028; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.12 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, Ar-*H*), 6.82 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, Ar-*H*), 4.02–4.06 (1 H, m, C³*H*), 3.79 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 2.61 (1 H, t, *J* = 9.8 Hz, C¹⁷*H*), 1.87–2.08 (2 H, m), 0.80–1.81 (21 H, m), 0.77 (3 H, s, C¹⁹*H*₃), 0.44 (3 H, s, C¹⁸*H*₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 158.2 (*Ar*), 133.7 (*Ar*), 129.9 (2 × C, *Ar*), 113.4 (2 × C, *Ar*), 67.0 (C³), 56.6 (C¹⁴ + C¹⁷), 55.6 (OCH₃), 55.0 (C⁹), 44.5 (C¹³), 39.7 (C⁵), 38.2 (C¹²), 36.6 (C¹⁰), 36.4 (C⁸), 36.3 (C⁴), 32.6 (C¹), 32.5 (C⁷), 29.4 (C²), 29.0 (C⁶), 26.8 (C¹⁶), 24.8 (C¹⁵), 20.9 (C¹¹), 13.2 (C¹⁸), 11.7 (C¹⁹); *m/z* (+CI), found MH⁺: 383.2952, C₂₆H₃₉O₂ requires 383.2950 (+0.5 ppm).

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of St. Andrews for an Interdisciplinary Studentship (GD).

References

- [1] H. Selye, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 46 (1941) 116-121.
- [2] N.L. Harrison, M.A. Simmonds, Brain Res. 323 (1984) 287-292.
- [3] M.D. Majewska, N.L. Harrison, R.D. Schwartz, J.L. Barker, S.M. Paul, Science 232 (1986) 1004–1007.
- [4] D. Bitran, R.J. Hilvers, C.K. Kellogg, Brain Res. 561 (1991) 157-161.
- [5] A.M. Hosie, M.E. Wilkins, H.M.A. da Silva, T.G. Smart, Nature 444 (2006) 486–489.
 [6] S. Mennerick, Y. He, X. Jiang, B.D. Manion, M. Wang, A. Shute, A. Benz, A.S. Evers, D.F. Covey, C.F. Zorumski, Mol. Pharmacol. 65 (2004) 1191–1197.
- [7] P. Tapolesanyi, J. Welfling, E. Mernyak, G. Schneider, Monatsh. Chem. 135 (2004) 1129–1136.
- [8] P. Tapolcsanyi, J. Wolfling, G. Schneider, Steroids 66 (2001) 623–635.
- [9] P. Bydal, K.-M. Sam, D. Poirier, Steroids 61 (1996) 349–353.
- [10] V. Kumar, C. Rodger, M.R. Bell, J. Org. Chem. 60 (1995) 4591-4594.
- [11] D.G. Loughhead, J. Org. Chem. 50 (1985) 3931-3934.
- [12] G. Ortar, I. Torrini, Tetrahedron 33 (1977) 859-863.
- [13] M. Schlosser, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 (2005) 376–393.
- [14] M. Schlosser, C. Heiss, Eur. J. Org. Chem. (2003) 4618-4624.
- [15] W.F. Bailey, J.J. Patricia, J. Organomet. Chem. 352 (1988) 1–46.
- [16] H. Gilman, R.D. Gorsich, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78 (1956) 2217-2222.
- [17] M. Sjostrom, S. Wold, Chem. Scr. 9 (1976) 200-210.
- [18] R. Yamdagni, P. Kebarle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98 (1976) 1320-1324.
- [19] T.X. Carroll, T.D. Thomas, H. Bergersen, K.J. Borve, L.J. Sthre, J. Org. Chem. 71 (2006) 1961–1968.
- [20] Z.B. Maksic, B. Kovacevic, D. Kovacek, J. Phys. Chem. A 101 (1997) 7446-7453.
- [21] S.P. Kelley, J.K. Alan, T.K. O'Buckley, S. Mennerick, K. Krishnan, D.F. Covey, A. Leslie Morrow, Eur. J. Pharmacol. 572 (2007) 94–101.